## Metric Spaces and Topology Lecture 12

Perfect al property. A metric space (x, d) has the perfect al property (PSP) if it's either atb or embeds 2", i.e. 32" as X untrinnous embedding. contains a (horeomorphic) copy of 2" Cantor defined this and incressfully Anned Wit all losed subsets of IR have PSP. He marted to prove this for all subuty of IR hus praving the crutinum hypothesis. However, one can prove build, using AC, a subset of R that closes it satisfy PSP, so this approach to proving the contribution hypothiesis will not work. Subjets without PSP but at continuum cardinaliz Bernstein als and we will build one in HW. Def. A neteric space is called perfect it has no isolated points. Examples. Nonexcells.

For example, diam  $(U_s) \in 2^{-1s1}$ , here 1s1 = locupth of s. Wis kirst build such a scheme and then see how do define the embedding  $2^{N} c_{x} X$ .

We build (Us) sezen by recursion on 1st. Let Up = X. Improve Us is defined it we define Uso it Usi as follows us Because Us # And open, it must contain at least two Que us points x, & hecase X is portect. Thus there are two nonentry disjoint open balls Uso of Usi whose dusanes are still contained in Us. We can take these balls small enough so their diam & 2-(11++) This timeshes the construction of the desired scheme (US) SEZCHY.

We now define the associated map  $f: 2^{N} \rightarrow X$  as follows:  $x \rightarrow the unique element in <math>\bigcap_{n \in W} U_{X_{1,n}}$  $\bigcap_{u \in IN} U_{X|u} = \bigcap_{u \in IN} \overline{U_{X|u}} \neq \emptyset$  the last statement is been X is complete. X is complete. <u>fis injective</u>: By properly lit: if x,y & 2<sup>dV</sup> me distinct, they In s.t. x(m) + y(m), so x1mer ≠ y1mer, hence

 $U_{X_{i_{n+1}}} \wedge U_{y_{i_{n+1}}} = \emptyset.$ Fis continuous. Fix x & 2" and an E-ball Bz > F(x). We know that Uxin me open neighborhood of f(x) of raniding diameter. Thus, I'm diam  $(U_{x/n}) < \tilde{z}$  hence  $U_{x/n} \in B_{z}$ , thus [x In] = )" (By) for some n. <u>F</u><sup>T</sup> is continuous. This is antomatic bounce 2<sup>IN</sup> is compact al X is Hanydorff, but we will prove it anyway. HW Remark. The construction of the usual Cactor set C = [0, ] is done exactly via the same laster scheme as in the proof: 1 V. Lor. Every wenerpty open subset of a perfect complete metric sphie is unother; in fast, contains a copy of 200. Proof (H. Kurapetyn). If U = X is open and wonenply, then

I contains an open full Br (x) of radius r, here also the closere of the open tall Bry (x), Mich can easily be seen to be perfect. HW: Show that is a partect metric space clockere of open is still parked. Thus, I watains a menopply pertect implete metric space Bryz(k), hence also a wpy of 2" <u>proof 2</u>. Real the optional homework problem that I a complete metric on U equiv. to the restriction Warning. Closure of a an open ball is in general smaller than the closed ball of the some ractius. Closure of an open ball is always perfect in a perfect space, while a closed ball may not be. For example, X = [-1, 0] v [1, 2] is a perted suplate metric space but  $B_{1}(0) = (-1,0], \text{ so } \overline{B_{1}(0)} = [-1,0],$ while  $\overline{B_{0}(1)} = [-1,0] \cup \{1\}, \text{ which is n't particular.}$ 2<sup>rd</sup> del 10<u>ef</u>. A metric space is called Polish if it is complete and separable.

Contor-Bendixson Kneoren. Polish spaces have the PSP In particular, Polish spaces satisfy the continuum hypothesis.